

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/757,344	01/09/2001	Pierre Jean Francois Layrolle	04148-00005	8849	
759	90 03/29/2002				
John P. Iwanicki			EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 28th Floor			MICHENER, JE	MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB	
28 State Street Boston, MA 02	2109		ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
			1762	8	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			mk -8			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/757,344	LAYROLLE ET AL.				
, tance, <b>,</b> , teach	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Kolb Michener	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce address	;			
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply t ch places the application	to a on in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See M  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a	MPEP ension fee on fee under us set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simp	olifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed an	nendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT p	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			l an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
0. Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/757,344

Art Unit: 1762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Advisory Action**

- 1. The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration. Specifically, the addition of the limitation requiring direct nucleation and a specific bond strength would require further search and consideration.
- 2. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims will be as follows:

  Claims 27-38 are rejected.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The request for reconsideration does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Applicant argues that the prior art references fail to teach a specific bond strength of the implant to be 40-65 MPa. Examiner notes, however, that the claims as currently written do not require this limitation.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kolb Michener whose telephone number is



Application/Control Number: 09/757,344

Art Unit: 1762

703-306-5462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jennifer Kolb Michener March 27, 2002

SHRIVE P. BECK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700